

Public Utilities Commission

Agenda

Thursday, June 6, 2013

9:30 AM start time

Utilities represented: Energy Facilities, Electricity, Natural Gas

To view all documents related to the following Agenda items, visit [eDockets](#)

DELIBERATION ITEMS

No Items

DECISION ITEMS

***1 E002/CN-12-1240**

Xcel Energy

In the Matter of the Petition of Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy for Approval of Competitive Resource Acquisition Proposal and Certificate of Need.

Should the Commission Xcel Energy's filing and proposal complete? Should the Commission find the other proposals complete? Should the Commission provide direction regarding the environmental review to be completed? Should the Commission take any other action? (PUC: [Briefing Papers](#) - DeBleeckere)

****2 E002,ET2/TL-08-1474**

**Great River Energy;
Xcel Energy**

In the Matter of the Route Permit Application for a 345 kV Transmission Line from Brookings County, South Dakota to Hampton, Minnesota.

Should the Commission reconsider its April 22, 2013 Order Approving Route Alignment? (PUC: [Briefing Papers](#) - Kaluzniak)

The Commission has the authority to accept or decline a petition for reconsideration **with or without** a hearing or oral argument. (Minnesota Rules 7829.3000, Subpart 6) In other words, a decision on a petition for reconsideration can be made without taking oral comments at the Commission meeting.

***3 ET9/RP-09-536**

Southern Minnesota Municipal Power Agency

In the Matter of Southern Minnesota Municipal Power Agency's 2009-2024 Integrated Resource Plan.

Should the Commission approve SMMPA's request for an extension until October 1, 2013 to file its 2013 Resource Plan? (PUC: [Briefing Papers](#) - Mackenzie)

***4 G007,011/AI-12-910**

Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation

In the Matter of the Petition of Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation for Approval of a Modification to the Master Affiliated Interest Agreement between Integrys Business Support, LLC (IBS) and the Regulated Entities within the Integrys Energy Group, Inc. Holding Company System.

Should the Commission approve Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation's proposed modifications to the IBS Master Affiliated Interest Agreement?
(PUC: [Briefing Papers](#) - **Bender, Harding**)

***5 G007.011/AI-12-409**

Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation

In the Matter of MERC's Petition for Approval of an Affiliated Interest Agreement between MERC and Integrys Transportation Fuels, Inc.

Should the Commission approve the Agreement?
Should the Commission require MERC to file for approval of any future transactions with Integrys Transportation Fuels (ITF) for an assessment of the public interest?
(PUC: [Briefing Papers](#) - **Bender, Harding**)

***6 G008/GR-08-1075**

CenterPoint Energy

In the Matter of the Application by CenterPoint Energy for Authority to Increase Natural Gas Rates in Minnesota.

Should the Commission accept CenterPoint's annual revenue decoupling evaluation report for 2012, and approve CenterPoint's March 1, 2013 revenue decoupling rate adjustments?
(PUC: [Briefing Papers](#) - **Harding**)

****7 E,G999/DI-12-1342**

All gas and electric investor-owned utilities

In the Matter of a Request by the Minnesota Department of Commerce to Adopt Ratemaking Standards for CIP Projects at Utility Facilities.

Should the Commission adopt ratemaking standards proposed by the Department of Commerce (DOC) for utility CIP project investments at utility facilities?
(PUC: [Briefing Papers](#) - **Mackenzie**)

****8 E015/M-12-920**

Minnesota Power

In the Matter of Minnesota Power's Petition for approval of its Boswell Energy Center Unit 4 Environmental Retrofit Project and Boswell 4 Environmental Improvement Rider.

Is an EAW mandatory, exempt, or discretionary under Minn. Stat. § 116D, Minn. Rules, Chapter 4410, and any other relevant statutes and rules?
If an EAW is discretionary, should the Commission require one?
(PUC: [Briefing Papers](#) - **Kaml, Gonzalez**)

* One star indicates agenda item is unusual but is not disputed.
** Two stars indicate a disputed item or significant legal or procedural issue to be resolved.
(Ex Parte Rules apply)